## REMARKS

Claims 1-16 are pending in the application. Claims 1-16 stand rejected.

Claim 1 has been cancelled herein. Claim 2 has been amended to independent form.

Claim 3 has been amended to independent form to include the limitations of claim 1.

Claim 8 has been amended to correct a typographical error.

Claims 1-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as anticipated by Ortiz (U.S. 2002/0058499). It's asserted in the Office Action that Ortiz reference teaches each and every feature of claims 1-16.

### Claims 2 and 4-6

In applicant's claim 2 a means for displaying the multimedia communication function reserved by said hand-held terminal is recited. The function is reserved through negotiation with the server controlling a multimedia apparatus.

For example applicant's specification describes the display image of the hand-held terminal starting on page 21, line 17 and figure 8. The displaying of the communications function when reserved is described, for example, on page 22: 9-22.

The Office Action points to par. 46 of the cited reference to describe this feature. Par. 46 describes data rendering devices for example a display. It is described that data from a wireless device may be rendered on a display. However the reference does not describe the display device displaying the multimedia communication <u>function reserved by said hand-held terminal</u>.

In addition in Ortiz Data Brokering is described in par. 46 as controlling, coordination, etc. of data, but again there is no description that the multimedia communication function reserved by said hand-held terminal is displayed, where the function is reserved through negotiation with the server controlling a multimedia apparatus.

Feb-09-2005 14:11

Paragraph 48 describes a DRD which may include a status monitor 27, however this is part of the DRD as described in the paragraph 48. In contrast applicant claims a mobile terminal with the displaying feature.

For at least the foregoing reasons it is respectfully submitted that the cited reference fails to show each and every feature of claim 2, and it is requested the rejection of claim 2 be withdrawn. Dependent claims 4-6 include the features of claim 2 and additional distinguishing feature and should likewise be in condition for allowance.

#### Claim 3

Claim 3 recites means for displaying a function of the communication apparatus of the communication partner, wherein said function is notified through the negotiation with the communication apparatus of the communication partner. Again the displaying features are described in applicant's specification for example starting on page 21, line 17.

The Office Action points to par. 47 to describe this feature. Par. 47 describes Ortiz's figure 1 and Data Brokering. As pointed out above Ortiz also describes that data from a wireless device may be rendered on a display.

However figure 1 and Data Brokering does not teach the claimed feature of displaying a function of the communication apparatus of the communication partner. Figure 2 and Paragraph 48 describe the DRD which is different from applicant's claimed mobile terminal.

## Claims 7-16

Applicant's claim 7 recites a server which includes conducting negotiation with a hand-held terminal for reservation of a function of the multimedia communication apparatus; and reserving the function for the hand-held terminal.

In the Office Action it appears applicant's claim 7, the server, is being equated with paragraphs 63, 64 and 66 of the cited reference. In particular, paragraph 63 describes a server which provides information back to a wireless device responding to a request for information from a wireless device.

However the network server in Ortiz only sends DRD location information. The DRD location information does not reserve a function for the terminal.

It is respectfully submitted that there is no description in Ortiz for managing a multimedia communications apparatus and <u>reserving the function for the hand-held terminal</u>. For at least the foregoing reasons it is respectfully requested the rejection of claim 7 be withdrawn.

Applicant's claim 14, although different in scope, includes at least the distinguishing features discussed above with regard to claim 7. It is likewise submitted that the rejection of claim 14 should be withdrawn

Applicant's claims 8-13 include transmitting information with respect to a <u>class of multimedia communication</u> being available for itself to the partner communication terminal.

The Office Action points to paragraphs 50 and 57 of the cited reference to show the claimed feature. These paragraphs generally discuss programming or providing control functions and/or signals applicable to a particular DRD selected for used by the WD. Paragraph 57 describes incorporating various office hardware with DRD methodologies.

However none of the descriptions teach transmitting information with respect to a class of multimedia communication. Ortiz describes providing functions of individual devices but fails to teach information as claimed by applicant.

Claims 15 and 16 each include a unique combination of features including a display unit to display a status of multimedia services. Paragraph 47 fails to describe such a feature.

Feb-09-2005 14:11

Paragraph 48 describes a DRD which may include a status monitor 27, however this is part of the DRD as described in the paragraph 48. In contrast applicant claims a mobile terminal with the display unit to display a status.

It is respectfully submitted the Ortiz reference fails to teach the features of claims 15 or 16. Because each and every feature is not taught by the reference the rejection should be withdrawn.

In view of the remarks set forth above, this application is in condition for allowance which action is respectfully requested. However, if for any reason the Examiner should consider this application not to be in condition for allowance, the Examiner is respectfully requested to telephone the undersigned attorney at the number listed below prior to issuing a further Action.

Any fee due with this paper may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-1290.

Respectfully submitted,

Brian S. Myers

Reg. No. 46,947

# CUSTOMER NUMBER 026304

Telephone: (212) 940-8703 Fax: (212) 940-8986/8987

Docket No.: FUJI 18.626 (100794-00125)

BSM:rm